1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	CHRISTOPHER MILLER,	CASE NO. C16-5891 BHS
9	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
10	MARGARET GILBERT, et al.,	RECONSIDERATION
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Christopher Miller's ("Miller")	
14	motion for reconsideration. Dkt. 148.	
15	On April 29, 2019, the Court denied Miller's motion to appoint counsel. Dkt. 145.	
16	In that order, the Court informed Miller that it sent multiple requests to the pro bono	
17	panel after appointed counsel withdrew. <i>Id.</i> No attorney, however, volunteered to	
18	represent Miller so the Court denied Miller's motion to appoint counsel. <i>Id.</i> On May 7,	
19	2019, Miller filed the instant motion requesting reconsideration. Dkt. 148. Miller states	
20	that he is having difficultly litigating this matter by himself and respectfully asks for	
21	placement back on the pro bono panel. <i>Id</i> .	
22		

Because no attorney volunteered to represent Miller, the Court's only option would be to force an attorney to represent Miller. At this point, the Court declines to impose such an obligation on an attorney in this civil suit for damages. If Miller finds an attorney to represent him, the Court will favorably consider an appointment of that attorney. Regarding the merits of the instant motion, the Court **DENIES** the motion because it is not error to deny a motion to appoint when no attorney volunteers for such an appointment.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 3rd day of June, 2019.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge